

Dear Inspector,

I wish it to be noted that the correspondence with Sunnica Ltd has not been the easiest or the most pleasant of experiences. The first letter that I received requested the confirmation of boundaries. I wrote stating that they were incorrect. subsequent letters have also had the incorrect boundary details, although this has been pointed out. I was not informed that a cable would be placed across my land until a following letter stated that access would be required for the whole of my property, including the farmyard and paddocks, which are a long way from the proposed route. after a lot of correspondence, the area requested was reduced.

Within the letters received from Sunnica there is always a reference to the possibility that they may use the right to use a compulsory access notice to gain entry to the farm for their work. Sunnica have never given details of their planned work, such as cable size or depth or how long the proposed work will take to instal. so, I have no idea how long the affected area will be out of use. Regarding the changes to the proposed application by Sunnica, I only heard about the change in cable size at a recent public meeting in the village hall.

I have received a Head of Terms for the option for Easement from Sunnica. but it seems to be greatly in favour of Sunnica. It includes a wide strip of land and restricts what I am allowed to do with it in the future or what I am allowed to say about the application.

Solar Panels have a limited life Spane, hopefully plans have been made to removed them. But what about the cables? why should I have to accept the redundant cables being left on my property.